AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT		Contract Number	Page of Pages		
Amendment/Modification Number Ad002	3. Effective Date 11-Sep-09	4. Requisition/Po	urchase Request No.	5. Solicitation Caption Consolidated Maintenance Services	
Office of Contracting and Procurement Professional Services/Public Safety Cluster 441 4th Street, N.W. Suite 700 South Washington, DC 20001	Code	Metropolitar 300 Indiana	red By (If other than line Police Department Avenue, NW , D.C. 20001	e 6)	
X The above numbered solicitation is amende Offers must acknowledge receipt of this a following methods: (a) By completing Iten amendment on each copy of the offer sub amendment number. FAILURE OF YOUR	Facility I. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES d as set forth in Item 14. The h mendment prior to the hour a as 8 and 15, and returning mitted; or (c) By separate let	S TO AMENDMEN our and date specified date specified One cop ter or fax which inc BE RECEIVED A	10B. Dated (See Item 10B. Date	orm 11) of Contract/Order No. em 13) S [X_is extended. is not extended. amended, by one of the b) By acknowledging receipt of this e solicitation and ATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS	
PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPEC an offer already submitted, such change solicitation and this amendment, and is re 12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Red	may be made by letter or fax, eceived prior to the opening h quired)	provided each lett our and date spec	er or telegram makes re fied.	eference to the	
	IS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFIES THE CONTRACT				
A. This change order is issued pursu. The changes set forth in Item 14 are B. The above numbered contract/ord date, etc.) set forth in item 14, pursua C. This supplemental agreement is e	ant to: (Specify Authority) made in the contract/order no er is modified to reflect the ac ant to the authority of 27 DCN	o. in item 10A. dministrative chang IR, Chapter 36, Se	es (such as changes in		
D. Other (Specify type of modification	and authority)			1	
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is r	not, X is required to s	ign this document	and return 1	copies to the issuing office.	
14. Description of amendment/modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) This Solicitation is hereby amended to make the following changes: Under Section L of the Solicitation: Delete: Section L in its entirety Insert: Section L in its entirety as described in Attachment A. Under Section M of the Solicitation: Delete: Section M in its entirety. Insert: Section M in its entirety as described in Attachment B Under Amendment 1 of the Solicitation: Delete: Under Section L.20: Insert: A pre-proposal conference will be held at 10:00 a.m. on September 16, 2009. Insert: Under Section L.22: Insert: A pre-proposal conference will be held at 10:00 a.m. on September 16, 2009 Delete: Proposal submission date is extended to October 2, 2009. Time remains 2:00 PM EST. Insert: Proposal submission date is extended to October 9, 2009. Time remains 2:00 PM EST.					
Except as provided herein, all terms and cond	litions of the document refere	anced in Item (QA c	r 10A) remain unchange	ed and in full force and effect	
15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print)		16A Name JEAN WRI	of Contracting Officer		
15B. Name of Contractor (Signature of person a	15C. Date Sign	ned 16B. Distric	of Columbia	Ghayure of Contracting Officer) 16C. Date Signed	

er ongre sie a rieger om twike en meet toeme mei find^a.

ATTACHMENT A (Ref.: Amendment 2 Solicitation Number DCFA-2009-R-0000)

Section L: Instructions, Conditions and Notices to Offerors

SECTION L: INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS

L.1 CONTRACT AWARD

L.1.1 Most Advantageous to the District

The District intends to award a single contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforming to the solicitation will be most advantageous to the District, cost or price, technical and other factors, specified elsewhere in this solicitation considered.

L.1.2 Initial Offers

The District may award contracts on the basis of initial offers received, without discussion. Therefore, each initial offer should contain the offeror's best terms from a standpoint of cost or price, technical and other factors.

L.2 PROPOSAL FORM, ORGANIZATION AND CONTENT

One original and five (5) copies of the written proposals shall be submitted in two parts, titled "Technical Proposal" and "Price Proposal". Proposals shall be typewritten in 12 point font size on 8.5" by 11" bond paper. Telephonic, telegraphic, and facsimile proposals will not be accepted. Each proposal shall be submitted in a sealed envelope conspicuously marked: "Proposal in Response to Solicitation No. DCFA-2009-R-0000."

(Offerors are directed to the specific proposal evaluation criteria found in Section M of this solicitation, Evaluation Factors. The Offeror shall respond to each factor in a way that will allow the District to evaluate the Offeror's response. The Offeror shall submit information in a clear, concise, factual and logical manner providing a comprehensive description of program supplies and services delivery thereof. The information requested below for the technical proposal shall facilitate evaluation and best value source selection for all proposals. The technical proposal must contain sufficient detail to provide a clear and concise representation of the requirements in Section C.)

L.3 TECHNICAL PROPOSAL

L.3.1 The Offeror shall submit a detailed outline of the proposed program for accomplishing the requirements of Section C and an explanation of the creative approaches to be used as outlined below. Reference any special techniques, skills, or abilities that the Offeror considers critical to accomplish the requirements outlined in this Request for Proposal.

Proposals shall be organized and presented in the following four (4) separate sections:

Section 1 – Technical Approach

Section 2 – Technical Expertise

Section 3 - Past Performance

Section 4 – Attachments

- L.3.1.1 <u>Section 1 Technical Approach</u>: The information requested in this section shall facilitate evaluation of the Offeror's technical approach in response to Section C. The contents of the section should convince the District that the Offeror understands the requirements, the ability to describe how the services will be delivered and creative approaches to be used. This section must include, but not be limited to, the following information:
 - A. The Police and Fire Clinic-The offeror must describe how they will establish, manage and operate the Police and Fire Clinic for up to 1,750 covered employees.
 - B. Preferred Provider Network- The offeror must describe how they will establish the Preferred Provider Network, describing in detail the methodology to be employed to recruit, select and monitor physicians, facilities and other health care providers.
 - C. Clinic Open During Extended Hours and on Weekends The offeror must describe how they will provide services during the extended hours and on weekends, including, but not to be limited to, the personnel (medical and non-medical) that will be involved during this period.
- L.3.1.2 <u>Section 2 Technical Expertise</u>: The information requested in this section shall facilitate evaluation of the Offeror's technical expertise. Significant sub factors to be evaluated will include the Offeror's capacity to operate the Police and Fire Clinic. This section must include the following information:
 - A. The Offeror must provide an organization chart of their corporation including the offeror's staff, the qualifications and expertise of the offeror's proposed staff, and the offeror's staff development initiatives.
 - B. The Offeror must provide documentation of the expertise, skills and experience of the Medical Director.

- L.3.1.3 <u>Section 3 Past Performance</u>: The information requested in this section shall facilitate evaluation of the Offeror's past performance and demonstrated success in services of this nature. Significant sub factors to be evaluated will include the Offeror's organization past experience. This section must include the following information:
 - A. Health Clinic- The Offeror must list the health clinics or health centers, or hospitals operated during the past three years. The Offeror must submit the most recent licensure report for each facility.
- L.3.1.4 Section 4 Attachments: The Offeror shall provide in this section the following documentation and pertinent information:
 - A. Solicitation, Offer and Award form;
 - B. Attachments J.2.2, J.2.3, J.2.4, J.4, and J.16 of this solicitation;
 - C. Representations and Certifications and other statements of the Offeror in section K shall be completed and signed;
 - D. Legal Status of Offeror as specified in Section L.19; and
 - E. Other pertinent information

L.4 PRICE PROPOSAL

- L.4.1 The information requested in this section shall facilitate evaluation of the Offeror's Price Proposal in response to Section B. The Offeror's price proposal will be evaluated separately from the Technical Proposal. At a minimum, this section must include the following information:
 - A. The Offeror shall submit a budget summary of the services as well as a budget for services provided under the capitation rate, labor hour rate, and cost reimbursement.
- L.5 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION DATE AND TIME, AND LATE SUBMISSIONS, LATE MODIFICATIONS, WITHDRAWAL OR MODIFICATION OF PROPOSALS AND LATE PROPOSALS

L.5.1 Proposal Submission

Proposals must be submitted no later than 2:00 p.m. on October 2, 2009. Proposals, modifications to proposals, or requests for withdrawals that are received in the designated District office after the exact local time specified

above, are "late" and shall be considered only if they are received before the award is made and one (1) or more of the following circumstances apply:

- (a) The proposal or modification was sent by registered or certified mail not later than the fifth (5th) day before the date specified for receipt of offers;
- (b) The proposal or modification was sent by mail and it is determined by the Contracting Officer that the late receipt at the location specified in the solicitation was caused by mishandling by the District, or
- (c) The proposal is the only proposal received.

L.5.2 Withdrawal or Modification of Proposals

An offeror may modify or withdraw its proposal upon written, telegraphic notice, or facsimile transmission if received at the location designated in the solicitation for submission of proposals, but not later than the closing date for receipt of proposals.

L.5.3 Postmarks

The only acceptable evidence to establish the date of a late proposal, late modification or late withdrawal sent either by registered or certified mail shall be a U.S. or Canadian Postal Service postmark on the wrapper or on the original receipt from the U.S. or Canadian Postal Service. If neither postmark shows a legible date, the proposal, modification or request for withdrawal shall be deemed to have been mailed late. When the postmark shows the date but not the hour, the time is presumed to be the last minute of the date shown. If no date is shown on the postmark, the proposal shall be considered late unless the offeror can furnish evidence from the postal authorities of timely mailing.

L.5.4 Late Modifications

A late modification of a successful proposal, which makes its terms more favorable to the District, shall be considered at any time it is received and may be accepted.

L.5.5 Late Proposals

A late proposal, late modification or late request for withdrawal of an offer that is not considered shall be held unopened, unless opened for identification, until after award and then retained with unsuccessful offers resulting from this solicitation.

L.6 EXPLANATION TO PROSPECTIVE OFFERORS

If a prospective offeror has any questions relative to this solicitation, the prospective offeror shall submit the question in writing to the contact person, identified on page one. The prospective offeror shall submit questions no later than ten (10) days prior to the closing date and time indicated for this solicitation. The District will not consider any questions received less than ten (10) days before the date set for submission of proposals. The District will furnish responses promptly to all other prospective offerors. An amendment to the solicitation will be issued if that information is necessary in submitting offers, or if the lack of it would be prejudicial to any other prospective offerors. Oral explanations or instructions given before the award of the contract will not be binding.

L.7 FAILURE TO SUBMIT OFFERS

Recipients of this solicitation not responding with an offer should not return this solicitation. Instead, they should advise the Contracting Officer, the Office of Contracting and Procurement, 441 4th Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20001, by letter or postcard whether they want to receive future solicitations for similar requirements. It is also requested that such recipients advise the Contracting Officer, the Office of Contracting and Procurement of the reason for not submitting a proposal in response to this solicitation. If a recipient does not submit an offer and does not notify the Contracting Officer, the Office of Contracting and Procurement that future solicitations are desired, the recipient's name may be removed from the applicable mailing list.

L.8 RESTRICTION ON DISCLOSURE AND USE OF DATA

L.8.1 Offerors who include in their proposal data that they do not want disclosed to the public or used by the District except for use in the procurement process shall mark the title page with the following legend:

"This proposal includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the District and shall not be duplicated, used or disclosed in whole or in part for any purpose except for use in the procurement process.

If, however, a contract is awarded to this offeror as a result of or in connection with the submission of this data, the District will have the right to duplicate, use, or disclose the data to the extent consistent with the District's needs in the procurement process. This restriction does not limit the District's rights to use, without restriction, information contained in this proposal if it is obtained from another source. The data subject to this restriction are contained in sheets (insert page numbers or other identification of sheets)."

L.8.2 Mark each sheet of data it wishes to restrict with the following legend:

"Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the title page of this proposal."

L.9 PROPOSALS WITH OPTION YEARS

The offeror shall include option year prices in its price/cost proposal. An offer may be determined to be unacceptable if it fails to include option year pricing.

L.10 PROPOSAL PROTESTS

Any actual or prospective offeror or contractor, who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract, must file with the D.C. Contract Appeals Board (Board) a protest no later than 10 business days after the basis of protest is known or should have been known, whichever is earlier. A protest based on alleged improprieties in a solicitation which are apparent at the time set for receipt of initial proposals shall be filed with the Board prior to the time set for receipt of initial proposals. In procurements in which proposals are requested, alleged improprieties which do not exist in the initial solicitation, but which are subsequently incorporated into the solicitation, must be protested no later than the next closing time for receipt of proposals following the incorporation. The protest shall be filed in writing, with the Contract Appeals Board, 717 14th Street, N.W., Suite 430, Washington, D.C. 20004. The aggrieved person shall also mail a copy of the protest to the Contracting Officer for the solicitation.

L.11 SIGNING OF OFFERS

The offeror shall sign the offer and print or type its name on the Solicitation, Offer and Award form of this solicitation. Offers signed by an agent shall be accompanied by evidence of that agent's authority, unless that evidence has been previously furnished to the Contracting Officer.

L.12 UNNECESSARILY ELABORATE PROPOSALS

Unnecessarily elaborate brochures or other presentations beyond those sufficient to present a complete and effective response to this solicitation are not desired and may be construed as an indication of the offeror's lack of cost consciousness. Elaborate artwork, expensive paper and bindings, and expensive visual and other presentation aids are neither necessary nor desired.

L.13 RETENTION OF PROPOSALS

All proposal documents will be the property of the District and retained by the District, and therefore will not be returned to the offerors.

L.14 PROPOSAL COSTS

The District is not liable for any costs incurred by the offerors in submitting proposals in response to this solicitation.

L.15 ELECTRONIC COPY OF PROPOSALS FOR FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUESTS

In addition to other proposal submission requirements, the offeror must submit an electronic copy of its proposal, redacted in accordance with any applicable exemptions from disclosure in D.C. Official Code § 2-534, in order for the District to comply with Section 2-536(b) that requires the District to make available electronically copies of records that must be made public. The District's policy is to release documents relating to District proposals following award of the contract, subject to applicable FOIA exemption under Section 2-534(a)(1).

L.16 CERTIFICATES OF INSURANCE

The Contractor shall submit certificates of insurance giving evidence of the required coverages as specified in Section I.8 prior to commencing work. Evidence of insurance shall be submitted within fourteen (14) days of contract award to:

Christian C. Nwachukwu, Contract Specialist 441 4th Streets, NW, 700 South Washington, D.C. 20001 202-724-4236 www.christian.nwachukwu@dc.gov

L.17 ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AMENDMENTS

The offeror shall acknowledge receipt of any amendment to this solicitation (a) by signing and returning the amendment; (b) by identifying the amendment number and date in the space provided for this purpose in Section A, Solicitation, Offer and Award form; or (c) by letter or telegram including mailgrams. The District must receive the acknowledgment by the date and time specified for receipt of offers. Offerors' failure to acknowledge an amendment may result in rejection of the offer.

L.18 BEST AND FINAL OFFERS

If, subsequent to receiving original proposals, negotiations are conducted, all offerors within the competitive range will be so notified and will be provided an opportunity to submit written best and final offers at the designated date and time. Best and Final Offers will be subject to the Late Submissions, Late Modifications and Late Withdrawals of Proposals provision of the solicitation. After receipt of best and final offers, no discussions will be reopened unless the Contracting Officer determines that it is clearly in the District's best interest to do so, e.g., it is

clear that information available at that time is inadequate to reasonably justify Contractor selection and award based on the best and final offers received. If discussions are reopened, the Contracting Officer shall issue an additional request for best and final offers to all offerors still within the competitive range.

L.19 LEGAL STATUS OF OFFEROR

Each proposal must provide the following information:

- L.19.1 Name, address, telephone number and federal tax identification number of offeror;
- L.19.2 A copy of each District of Columbia license, registration or certification that the offeror is required by law to obtain. This mandate also requires the offeror to provide a copy of the executed "Clean Hands Certification" that is referenced in D.C. Official Code §47-2862 (2001), if the offeror is required by law to make such certification. If the offeror is a corporation or partnership and does not provide a copy of its license, registration or certification to transact business in the District of Columbia, the offer shall certify its intent to obtain the necessary license, registration or certification prior to contract award or its exemption from such requirements; and
- L.19.3 If the offeror is a partnership or joint venture, the names and addresses of the general partners or individual members of the joint venture, and copies of any joint venture or teaming agreements.

L.20 FAMILIARIZATION WITH CONDITIONS

Offerors shall thoroughly familiarize themselves with the terms and conditions of this solicitation, acquainting themselves with all available information regarding difficulties which may be encountered, and the conditions under which the work is to be accomplished. Contractors will not be relieved from assuming all responsibility for properly estimating the difficulties and the cost of performing the services required herein due to their failure to investigate the conditions or to become acquainted with all information, schedules and liability concerning the services to be performed.

L.21 STANDARDS OF RESPONSIBILITY

The prospective contractor must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the District the capability in all respects to perform fully the contract requirements; therefore, the prospective contractor must submit the documentation listed below, within five (5) days of the request by the District.

L.21.1 Evidence of adequate financial resources, credit or the ability to obtain such resources as required during the performance of the contract.

- L.21.2 Evidence of the ability to comply with the required or proposed delivery or performance schedule, taking into consideration all existing commercial and governmental business commitments.
- **L.21.3** Evidence of the necessary organization, experience, accounting and operational control, technical skills or the ability to obtain them.
- **L.21.4** Evidence of compliance with the applicable District licensing and tax laws and regulations.
- L.21.5 Evidence of a satisfactory performance record, record of integrity and business ethics.
- L.21.6 Evidence of the necessary production, construction and technical equipment and facilities or the ability to obtain them.
- L.21.7 Evidence of other qualifications and eligibility criteria necessary to receive an award under applicable laws and regulations
- L.21.8 If the prospective contractor fails to supply the information requested, the Contracting Officer shall make the determination of responsibility or nonresponsibility based upon available information. If the available information is insufficient to make a determination of responsibility, the Contracting Officer shall determine the prospective contractor to be nonresponsible.

L.22 PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

A pre-proposal conference will be held at 10:00 a.m. on September 16, 2009 at the Office of Contracting and Procurement Public Safety Conference Room, 441 4th Street, NW, Suite 700 South, Washington, D.C. 20001. Prospective offerors will be given an opportunity to ask questions regarding this solicitation at the conference. The purpose of the conference is to provide a structured and formal opportunity for the District to accept questions from offerors on the solicitation document as well as to clarify the contents of the solicitation. Attending offerors must complete the pre-proposal conference Attendance Roster at the conference so that their attendance can be properly recorded. Impromptu questions will be permitted and spontaneous answers will be provided at the District's discretion. Verbal answers given at the pre-proposal conference are only intended for general discussion and do not represent the Department's final position. All oral questions must be submitted in writing following the close of the pre-proposal conference but no later than three working days after the pre-proposal conference in order to generate an official answer. Official answers will be provided in writing to all prospective offerors who are listed on the official offerors' list as having received a copy of the solicitation. Answers will be posted on the OCP website at www.ocp.dcgov.org.

L.23 HAND DELIVERY OR MAILING OF PROPOSAL

One (1) original and five (5) complete printed copies delivered or mailed to:

Office of Contracting and Procurement

Bid Room, 441 4th Street, NW, Suite 703 South

Washington, D.C. 20001

ATTACHMENT B (Ref.: Amendment 2 Solicitation Number DCFA-2009-R-0000)

Section M – Evaluation Factors

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS

M.1 EVALUATION FOR AWARD

The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose offer is most advantageous to the District, based upon the evaluation criteria specified below. Thus, while the points in the evaluation criteria indicate their relative importance, the total scores will not necessarily be determinative of the award. Rather, the total scores will guide the District in making an intelligent award decision based upon the evaluation criteria.

M.2 TECHNICAL RATING

The Technical Rating Scale is as follows:

Numeric Rating	Adjective	Description
0	Unacceptable	Fails to meet minimum
(d)		requirements; e.g., no
		demonstrated capacity, major
		deficiencies which are not
		correctable; offeror did not
		address the factor.
1	Poor	Marginally meets minimum
		requirements; major deficiencies
		which may be correctable.
2	Minimally	Marginally meets minimum
	Acceptable	requirements; minor deficiencies
		which may be correctable.
3	Acceptable	Meets requirements; no
		deficiencies.
4	Good	Meets requirements and exceeds
		some requirements; no
		deficiencies.
5	Excellent	Exceeds most, if not all
	V20-4907-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-0	requirements; no deficiencies.

For example, if a sub factor has a point evaluation of 0 to 6 points, and (using the Technical Rating Scale) the District evaluates as "good" the part of the proposal applicable to the sub factor, the score for the sub factor is 4.8 (4/5 of 6). The sub factor scores will be added together to determine the score for the factor level.

M. 3 EVALUATION CRITERIA

The objective of the source selection process is to identify and select the Offeror that has successfully demonstrated the ability to successfully meet the District's needs in the manner most advantageous to the District, all factors considered. For this solicitation, technical quality is more important than cost or price. As proposals become more equal in their technical merit, the evaluated cost or price becomes more important.

- M.3.1 The Technical evaluation criteria set forth below have been developed by agency technical personnel and have been tailored to the requirements of this particular solicitation. The Offeror is informed that these criteria will serve as the standard against which all prop significant sub factors which the Offeror should specifically address in complying with the requirements of the solicitation as described in Section C and instructions and notices to Offerors described in section L.
- M.3.2 The relative probabilities of the Offeror to accomplish the requirements of the solicitation will be evaluated based on the specific information requested in L.3 in accordance with the evaluations factors described below. The Offeror should respond to each factor and significant sub factor in a way that will enable the District to evaluate the Contractor's response. The scoring for each evaluation factor will be based on the District's determination of the degree to which the Offeror satisfies the requirements within the evaluation factor and significant sub factors. Deficiencies and weaknesses indentified in the proposal as well as the District's risk will also be considered.

M.4 EVALUATION FACORES

M.4.1 The competitive source selection for this Request for Proposal will be based upon four (3) evaluation factors: technical approach; technical expertise; past performance; and price. The manner in which each factor will be evaluated is described below:

M.4.2 FACOR 1 - TEHCNICAL APPROACH

35 POINTS

The Offeror's technical approaches which are listed in order of significance will be evaluated based on the following sub factors:

A. Service Delivery at the Police and Fire Clinic

Technical Plan – The offeror will be evaluated based on the technical plan for service delivery proposed by the offeror and services related to the operation of the Clinic.

Knowledge of Healthcare Services – The offeror will be evaluated based on the offeror's knowledge and application of recognized industry standards and best practice models in the operation of the Clinic.

Preferred Provider Network – The offeror will be evaluated based on the offeror's methodology for establishing the Preferred Provider Network and demonstrated knowledge of members of the Network of the performance requirements, including the provision of services during extended hours.

M.4.3 FACTOR 2 - TECHNICAL EXPERTISE

25 POINTS

The Offeror's technical expertise's which are listed in order of significance will be evaluated based on the following sub factors:

Health/Medical Personnel – The offeror will be evaluated based on evidence that the offeror has a sufficient number of medical and knowledgeable, experienced personnel to provide the required services during normal and extended hours.

Clinic Medical Director – The offeror will be evaluated based on evidence of the expertise, skills, and experience of the Medical Director.

Other Personnel – The offeror will be evaluated based on evidence of sufficient number of qualified non-medical personnel that may be relevant in the performance of the required services.

M.4.4 FACTOR 3 - PAST PERFORMANCE

20 POINTS

The Offeror's past performance, which is listed in order of significance, will be evaluated based on the following sub factors:

Demonstrated Success – The offeror will be evaluated based on evidence of the quality of services provided, timeliness in service delivery, best business practices, and overall satisfaction of the offeror's performance.

Demonstrated Experience – The offeror will be evaluated based on evidence of offeror's experience in operating and managing a health clinic and providing the services required.

20 POINTS

M.4.5 PRICE CRITERIA

The price evaluation will be objective. The offeror with the lowest price will receive the maximum price points. All other proposals will receive a proportionately lower total score. The following formula will be used to determine each offeror's evaluated price score:

<u>Lowest Price Proposal</u> x Weight = Evaluated Price Score Price of Proposal Being Evaluated

M.5 The scoring for each evaluation factor will be used in the District determination of whether the Offeror satisfies the requirements. The Technical Approach, technical Expertise, Past Performance and Price are listed below. Price points are valuated separately.

M.5.1	Technical Approach	0-35 Points
	Technical Expertise	0-25 Points
	Past Performance	0-20 Points
	Price	0-20 Points
	Preference Points	0-12 Points

M.5.2 PREFERENCE (12 Points)

M.5.3 TOTAL (112 Points)

M.6 EVALUATION OF OPTION YEARS

The District will evaluate offers for award purposes by evaluating the total price for all options as well as the base term. Evaluation of options shall not obligate the District to exercise them. The total District's requirements may change during the option years. Quantities to be awarded will be determined at the time each option is exercised.

M.7. PREFERENCES FOR CERTIFIED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES

Under the provisions of the "Small, Local, and Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Development and Assistance Act of 2005", as amended, D.C. Official Code § 2-218.01 *et seq.* (the Act), the District shall apply preferences in evaluating proposals from businesses that are small, local, disadvantaged, resident-owned, longtime resident, or local with a principal office located in an enterprise zone of the District of Columbia.

M.7.1 Subcontracting Requirements

If the prime contractor subcontracts any portion of the work under this contract, the prime contractor shall meet the following subcontracting requirements:

- M.7.1.1 At least 35% of the dollar volume shall be subcontracted to certified small business enterprises; provided, however, that the costs of materials, goods and supplies shall not be counted towards the 35% subcontracting requirement unless such materials, goods and supplies are purchased from certified small business enterprises; or
- M.7.1.2 If there are insufficient qualified small business enterprises to completely fulfill the requirement of paragraph M.5.1.1, then the subcontracting may be satisfied by subcontracting 35% of the dollar volume to any certified business enterprises; provided, however, that all reasonable efforts shall be made to ensure that qualified small business enterprises are significant participants in the overall subcontracting work.

M.7.2 Application of Preferences

For evaluation purposes, the allowable preferences under the Act for this procurement shall be applicable to prime contractors as follows:

- M.7.2.1 Any prime contractor that is a small business enterprise (SBE) certified by the Department of Small and Local Business Development (DSLBD) will receive the addition of three points on a 100-point scale added to the overall score for proposals submitted by the SBE in response to this Request for Proposals (RFP).
- M.7.2.2 Any prime contractor that is a resident-owned business (ROB) certified by DSLBD will receive the addition of five points on a 100-point scale added to the overall score for proposals submitted by the ROB in response to this RFP.
- M.7.2.3 Any prime contractor that is a longtime resident business (LRB) certified by DSLBD will receive the addition of ten points on a 100-point scale added to the overall score for proposals submitted by the LRB in response to this RFP.
- M.7.2.4 Any prime contractor that is a local business enterprise (LBE) certified by DSLBD will receive the addition of two points on a 100-point scale added to the overall score for proposals submitted by the LBE in response to this RFP.
- M.7.2.5 Any prime contractor that is a local business enterprise with its principal offices locates in an enterprise zone (DZE) certified by DSLBD will receive the addition of two points on a 100-point scale added to the overall score for proposals submitted by the DZE in response to this RFP.

M.7.2.6 Any prime contractor that is a disadvantaged business enterprise (DBE) certified by DSLBD will receive the addition of two points on a 100-point scale added to the overall score for proposals submitted by the DBE in response to this RFP.

M.7.3 Maximum Preference Awarded

Notwithstanding the availability of the preceding preferences, the maximum total preference to which a certified business enterprise is entitled under the Act for this procurement is the equivalent of twelve (12) points on a 100-point scale for proposals submitted in response to this RFP. There will be no preference awarded for subcontracting by the prime contractor with certified business enterprises.

M.7.4 Preferences for Certified Joint Ventures

When DSLBD certifies a joint venture, the certified joint venture will receive preferences as a prime contractor for categories in which the joint venture and the certified joint venture partner are certified, subject to the maximum preference limitation set forth in the preceding paragraph.

M.7.5 Vendor Submission for Preferences

- M.7.5.1 Any vendor seeking to receive preferences on this solicitation must submit at the time of, and as part of its proposal, the following documentation, as applicable to the preference being sought:
- M.7.5.1.1 Evidence of the vendor's or joint venture's certification by DSLBD as an SBE, LBE, DBE, DZE, LRB or ROB, to include a copy of all relevant letters of certification from DSLBD; or
- M.7.5.1.2 Evidence of the vendor's or joint venture's provisional certification by DSLBD as an SBE, LBE, DBE, DZE, LRB or ROB, to include a copy of the provisional certification from DSLBD.
- M.7.5.2 Any vendor seeking certification or provisional certification in order to receive preferences under this solicitation should contact the:

Department of Small and Local Business Development ATTN: CBE Certification Program 441 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 970N Washington DC 20001

M.7.5.3 All vendors are encouraged to contact DSLBD at (202) 727-3900 if additional information is required on certification procedures and requirements.

M.7.6 Subcontracting Plan

If the prime contractor intends to subcontract at least 35% of the dollar volume of this contract in accordance with the provisions of section M.5.1.1, the prime contractor responding to this solicitation shall be required to submit with its proposal, a notarized statement detailing its subcontracting plan. Proposals responding to this RFP shall be deemed nonresponsive and shall be rejected if the offeror intends to subcontract in accordance with the provisions of section M.5.1.1, but fails to submit a subcontracting plan with its proposal. Once the plan is approved by the contracting officer, changes to the plan will only occur with the prior written approval of the contracting officer and the Director of DSLBD. Each subcontracting plan shall include the following:

- M.7.6.1 A description of the goods and services to be provided by SBEs or, if insufficient qualified SBEs are available, by any certified business enterprises;
- M.7.6.2 A statement of the dollar value of the proposal that pertains to the subcontracts to be performed by the SBEs or, if insufficient qualified SBEs are available, by any certified business enterprises;
- M.7.6.3 The names and addresses of all proposed subcontractors who are SBEs or, if insufficient SBEs are available, who are certified business enterprises;
- M.7.6.4 The name of the individual employed by the prime contractor who will administer the subcontracting plan, and a description of the duties of the individual;
- M.7.6.5 A description of the efforts the prime contractor will make to ensure that SBEs, or, if insufficient SBEs are available, that certified business enterprises will have an equitable opportunity to compete for subcontracts;
- M.7.6.6 In all subcontracts that offer further subcontracting opportunities, assurances that the prime contractor will include a statement, approved by the contracting officer, that the subcontractor will adopt a subcontracting plan similar to the subcontracting plan required by the contract;
- M.7.6.7 Assurances that the prime contractor will cooperate in any studies or surveys that may be required by the contracting officer, and submit periodic reports, as requested by the contracting officer, to allow the District to determine the extent of compliance by the prime contractor with the subcontracting plan;
- M.7.6.8 A list of the type of records the prime contractor will maintain to demonstrate procedures adopted to comply with the requirements set forth in the subcontracting plan, and assurances that the prime contractor will make such records available for review upon the District's request; and

M.7.6.9 A description of the prime contractor's recent effort to locate SBEs or, if insufficient SBEs are available, certified business enterprises and to award subcontracts to them.

M.7.7 Compliance Reports

By the 21st of every month following the execution of the contract, the prime contractor shall submit to the contracting officer and the Director of DSLBD a compliance report detailing the contractor's compliance, for the preceding month, with the subcontracting requirements of the contract. The monthly compliance report shall include the following information:

- M.7.7.1 The dollar amount of the contract or procurement;
- M.7.7.2 A brief description of the goods procured or the services contracted for;
- M.7.7.3 The name and address of the business enterprise from which the goods were procured or services contracted;
- M.7.7.4 Whether the subcontractors to the contract are currently certified business enterprises;
- M.7.7.5 The dollar percentage of the contract or procurement awarded to SBEs, or if insufficient SBEs, to other certified business enterprises;
- M.7.7.6 A description of the activities the contractor engaged in, in order to achieve the subcontracting requirements set forth in section M.5.1.1; and
- M.7.7.7 A description of any changes to the activities the contractor intends to make by the next month to achieve the requirements set forth in section M.5.1.1.

M.7.8 Enforcement and Penalties for Breach of Subcontracting Plan

- M.7.8.1 If during the performance of this contract, the contractor fails to comply with the subcontracting plan submitted in accordance with the requirements of this contract, and as approved by the contracting officer and the Director of DSLBD, and the contracting officer determines the contractor's failure to be a material breach of the contract, the contracting officer shall have cause to terminate the contract under the default clause of the Standard Contract Provisions.
- M.7.8.2 In addition, the willful breach by a contractor of a subcontracting plan for utilization of certified business enterprises in the performance of a contract, the failure to submit any required subcontracting plan monitoring or compliance report, or the deliberate submission of falsified data may be enforced by DSLBD through the imposition of penalties, including monetary fines of \$15,000 or 5% of the total amount of the

work that the contractor was to subcontract to certified business enterprises, whichever is greater, for each such breach, failure, or falsified submission.